


REMARKS

The Examiner objected to drawings for several reasons. New drawings are being prepared and will be filed shortly to address the Examiner's objections.

New independent Claim 16 is believed to distinguish the invention over the Bright reference cited by the Examiner in the final rejection. Specifically, the Bright reference does not show or suggest a web that engages another portion of the constant velocity joint, as specifically claimed. Rather, the Bright reference discloses a cage "D" that is spaced apart from the inwardly protruding rib "a" provided on the outer race "A", as clearly shown in Figs. 2 and 5. Thus, the claimed invention is clearly patentable over the Bright reference.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard S. MacMillan  
Reg. No. 30,085

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC  
One Maritime Plaza, Fourth Floor  
720 Water Street  
Toledo, Ohio 43604  
(419) 255-5900